Connected and Autonomous Vehicles: Legislative and Other Comparisons-Next Steps

Technology Law and Public Policy Clinic University of Washington School of Law

William Covington-Director



Background



Six Recommendations

- 1. Preempt Local Regulation: prevent unnecessary roadblocks to deployment of A/Vs.
- 2. Update Definitions: revise the RCW to accommodate new technologies.
- 3. Self Certification: promote innovation & freedom to develop new technologies.



Background

Six Recommendations

- 4. Enhanced Infrastructure: encourage local, state, and federal improvements in road systems and technologies to support A/Vs.
- 5. Liability: impose liability on A/V systems and manufacturers while autonomous systems are in operation.
- 6. Update current data security laws: "lead the pack" in securing driver and user data.

Comparisons



- Subcommittees asked to comment on student recommendations
- Students asked to revisit and support recommendations
- Need to vet recommendations by examining what is taking place around the country

POLICY MATRIX - CONNECTED AND AUTONOMOUS VEHICLES (CAVs)

State	Contact	Legislation, Executive Order and/or Dept. Regulations	
State Name State Seal	Policy (Legislative Analyst; Attorney General; Other) Operations (Department of Transportation; Other) Operations (Department of Transportation)	Definitions? Source? (SAE, ULC, Industry? Other?)	
Testing (Automobiles)	Testing (Busses? Shuttles? TNCs? Other?)	Testing and Deployment Requirements (Information to be Submitted; Operator Requirements; Other)	
Oversight Department (DOT? DOL? Other?)	Department Investigation and Approval? OR Self-Certification?	Lessons Learned as Result of Testing	
Local Government (Political Subdivision) Pre-emption	Infrastructure Improvements to Prepare for CAVs?	Liability (Insurance Requirement? Software Designated as Operator? Other?	

State	Contac	et	Legislation &/or Executive Order
	Mark C. k	(opko Special Advisor -	SB 1267 (2016)
Pennsylvaina	Transforn	national Technology	See:
· ·	Office of	the Secretary	https://www.legis.state.pa.us/cfdocs/legis/li/uconsCheck.cfm?yr=2016
STATE OF PA	PA Depar	tment of Transportation	<u>&sessInd=0&act=101</u>
	400 North	h Street, 6th Floor Harrisburg, PA	-Allows spending of up to \$40M on intelligent transportation systems
	17120 Phone 71	7.783.1903	which could benefit autonomous and connected vehicles
The Season of th	www.per	nndot.gov	HB 1958 (2018)
		o@pa.gov	See:
			https://www.legis.state.pa.us/CFDOCS/Legis/PN/Public/btCheck.cfm?t
			tType=PDF&sessYr=2017&sessInd=0&billBody=H&billTyp=B&billNbr=1
			<u>58&pn=4276</u>
			-Defines "platoon"
			-Detailed rules on platooning e.g. no more than three trucks; there
			must be a driver in each vehicle, must file plan with the Department
			Definitions section applicable to Highly Automated Vehicles
			-Establishes Highly Automated Vehicles Advisory Committee
			-Advisory Committee shall examine existing laws and provide an annua report
			Source of Definitions
			-Three sources: SAE, United States Department of Transportation and
			Michigan legislation
Testing (Autos)		Testing (Other)	Testing and Deployment requirements
-Six companies have tested		-There has been no testing of \boldsymbol{truck}	See Automated Vehicle Testing Guidance (July 23, 2018)
in PA		platooning to date	https://www.penndot.gov/ProjectAndPrograms/ResearchandTesting/
			utonomous%20 Vehicles/Documents/PennDOT%20HAV%20Testing%3 OGuidance.pdf



Queries/Topics

- Contacts
- Legislation/Executive Order/Departmental Regulations
- Testing and Deployment
- Testing Requirements
- Preemption and Local Government Activity



Queries/Topics

- Infrastructure Development (Communications; Signaling; Highway Stripes; Signage; Other)
- Liability
- Information Gathering/Data Security
- Social Justice
- Stakeholder Interest
- Misc. Information
- Other Contacts

Progress to Date

- Communication
 - All states contacted (email and letter)
 - Dialog with: representatives of: Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, City of Boston, Colorado, Connecticut, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Nebraska, Nevada, North Carolina, North Dakota, Pennsylvania, Utah, Vermont, irginia and Wisconsin

Progress to Date

- Noteworthy
 - Arizona –DOT working with University of Arizona on messaging and signaling
 - Arkansas –Railroads are concerned about CAVs and crossings
 - Georgia-Exploring public-private partnership for laying dual purpose fiber
 - Idaho-Comparing advantages of DSRC versus 5G

Progress to Date

- Noteworthy
 - Kansas-Project exploring IOT technology and its potential uses
 - Michigan –Extensive activity in southeastern Michigan; deploying both DSRC and 5G
 - Minnesota-Exploring working with 3M on signage
 - North Carolina-Installing "long life" marking on rural roads

Request for Assistance

- 1. Are the right questions being asked?
- 2. Should other questions be added?
- 3. How should the gathered information be analyzed?
- 4. In what other ways can this project be useful?

Questions? Comments?